

#	Recommendation	Agency	Threshold Issues (Questions from DCP in <i>Italics</i>)
	SPECIFIC ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS		<i>*In cover or footnote, indicate that specific zoning districts proposed are meant to be illustrative. Please Note: The specific recommendations used in the Greenpoint 197a Plan are illustrative of the type of development that the committee believes would be appropriate. We realize that the specific zoning category adopted would come after a thorough zoning analysis undertaken in concert with a 197c application.</i>
1	<i>Area 1: expand to include all waterfront; rezone from M1-1 to R6 (M1-1). (page: 43)</i>	DCP	<i>Give a general description of land uses for area to be added and a rationale for inclusion in the study area. See footnote on page 43. The area is a small section of Greenpoint’s East River waterfront that has underutilized and unused manufacturing buildings with some residential property mixed in. The 197a committee feels that this area may be suitable for rezoning and proposes that the DCP should extend the boundaries of zoning study area 1 to include this four square block area that sits between study areas 1, 2 and 4.</i>
2	<i>Area 2: rezone from M3-1 to R6 and R6(M1-1); retain M3 for Greenpoint Manufacturing Design Center site. (page: 43)</i>	DCP	<i>Clarify the location of rezoning subareas, and the proposed park swap. See footnote on page 43 and Map 16, page 39. The swap was discussed conceptually, however, the Community Board reserves the right to review the proposed swap at the time that the development proposal is formally submitted by the owner.</i>
3	<i>Area 3: rezone from M1-1 to R6(M1-1) with appropriate <u>environmental performance based standards</u>. (page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>Expand on <u>environmental performance based standards</u>. Are these related to existing M1 district or is it a new manufacturing district? The proposed High Performance Zoning Districts are meant to build upon and enhance the existing zoning. See Keywords page 72.</i>
4	<i>Area 4: rezone to Special Mixed Use Development District, to be developed; encourage preservation of historic structures.(page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>Update the recommendation and reconcile it with the mixed use recommendation # 65. This recommendation has been updated. See page 45. This was inadvertently left out of the copy forwarded to your office on January 24, 1999.</i>
5	<i>Area 5: rezone from M1-1 to R6(M1-1) with environ. perfor. req.(page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>See # 3 See Keywords page 72</i>
6	<i>Area 6: rezone 2 sites from M1-2 to R6. (page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>See footnote on page 43</i>
7	<i>Area 15: Conduct a study, may be suitable for a mixed use dist. (page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>See footnote on page 43</i>
8	<i>Rezone entire Newtown Creek from M3 to a new High Performance Manufacturing Special District. (page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>Explain “High Performance Manufacturing Zone”. Are the proposed environmental performance based standards in Rec. # 3 in this or is it a different set of rules. See footnote on page 43 and Keywords page 72</i>
9	<i>Prohibit adult entertainment uses. (page: 45)</i>	DCP	<i>Clarify and give justification. The existing “M” districts in Greenpoint contain many pre-existing residential buildings. Since residences are non-conforming uses in M districts, there is concern that adult establishments may locate close to residential buildings. Therefore, to the extent permissible those establishments should be discouraged.</i>
10	<i>Undertake 197-c zoning actions concurrent with 197-a review. (page: 45)</i>	DCP	
	ENVIRONMENT		
11	<i>Permanently ban any new or relocated public or private waste facility anywhere in Greenpoint. (page: 46)</i>	DOS	
12	<i>Establish environmental monitoring stations/ programs in parks, streets etc. (page: 46)</i>	DEP	<i>Who establishes these? The proposed monitoring stations would be developed by either DEP as part of their Newtown Creek WPCP Amenities Program or a DEP approved and funded not-for profit environmental education group. Such a facility would be eligible for federal funds from one of a number of USEPA programs or from TEA21 funds. They would be stations that</i>

#	Recommendation	Agency	Threshold Issues (Questions from DCP in <i>Italics</i>)
			would help to educate the public about the environment and at the same time would be equipped with measuring devices to measure air and water quality. The sewage treatment facility in Bottrop, Germany that uses the same technology and design as the one under design and construction in Greenpoint has such a public monitoring station. At Bottrop, the station is located along a hiking path that was built along side the water pollution and sewage control plant.
13	<i>Develop Greenpoint as model sustainable community. (page: 46)</i>	All	All agencies coordinated by CPD and or DEP. New York City is one of the few global cities that has not yet begun to develop a plan for sustainable development (see KEYWORDS) as suggested by the RIO Conference on the Environment and subsequent international meetings in Tokyo and New York. Sustainable planning initiatives [commonly known as Agenda 21], are efforts to address smart growth, issues of global warming and other critical environmental problems by engaging in environmentally sensitive community-based planning on a local level. The President's Council On Sustainable Development has as one of its 10 Goals proposed that people be encouraged to "work together to create healthy communities where natural and historic resources are preserved, jobs are available, sprawl is contained, neighborhoods are secure, education is lifelong, transportation and health care are accessible, and all citizens have opportunities to improve the quality of their lives." The Greenpoint 197a Committee believes that Greenpoint if properly planned for and developed could become a model for other neighborhoods of the city by focusing on these kinds of sustainable development objectives.
14	<i>Establish environmental training & remediation programs for youth. (page: 46)</i>	? NIEH, USEPA DYCD	<i>Who establishes these?</i> At present the New York Environmental Justice Alliance (NYEJA) receives funding from the National Institute of Environmental Health (NIEH) to run these types of programs in New York City. In addition, The Division of Youth and Community Development (DYCD) in cooperation with DEP can use CDBG, EPA and other state and federal sources to fund these kinds of programs.
15	<i>Encourage clean, environmentally friendly industry; reduce pollution levels; enforce regulations. (page: 46)</i>		<i>No data on existing pollution levels; who and how?</i> See Greenpoint/Williamsburg Population and Toxics per square mile Chart on page 26 and Map 10 (Environmentally Sensitive Sites) on page 27
16	<i>Increase 94th Pct participation in environmental protection enforcement (page: 46)</i>	NYPD	
17	<i>Offer tax credits and technical assistance to promote sustainable business practices (page: 47)</i>	EPA or DEP EPA, HUD, and others	<i>Who offers these?</i> DEP with financial assistance from EPA and HUD; The President's federal budget proposes a range of new federal "Smart Growth, Quality of Life and Brownfield revitalization incentives. The Governor's task force on Superfund Sites and the Pocantico Roundtable on Brownfield Remediation and Regeneration both propose new financial incentives and grant programs that could assist in this effort.
18	<i>Promote environmental awareness & lifestyles (page: 47)</i>	All	See item 13
19	<i>Establish small neighborhood composting centers citywide (page: 47)</i>	DOS	
20	<i>Develop strategy to improve water quality of East River & Newtown Creek (page: 47)</i>	DEP	
21	<i>Dredge Newtown Creek after Mobil cleanup; restore for recreational uses (page: 47)</i>	EDC DEP ACOE	

#	Recommendation	Agency	Threshold Issues (Questions from DCP in <i>Italics</i>)
22	<i>Enforce accelerated cleanup of Mobil Oil spill. (page: 47)</i>	EPA DEP Corp Counsel DEC	<i>What is the City's role?</i> Part of the Newtown Creek Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) sits on top of Mobil Oil p spill. and is therefore an affected and interested party. In addition, private property adjacent to the WPCP also has oil illegally stored under it thus causing a diminution of the property value and a potential hazard. Sensitive underground pathways to Newtown creek are also at risk. For those reasons as well as for general public health the city should take a more proactive role in accelerating the clean up effort and in negotiating a better financial package to compensate the community for the damages incurred.
23	<i>Create Mobil Oil Reclamation Fund from proceeds of reclaimed oil to fund open space amenities (page: 47)</i>	EPA See 22	<i>What is the City's role?</i> See 22
24	<i>Develop an aggressive and sustained greening program.(page: 47)</i>	DPR	<i>Who is responsible?</i> The Department of Parks and Recreation should undertake education and information services, and tree planting efforts.
25	<i>Green gray areas alleyways, rooftops, squares, intersections, etc.(page: 47)</i>	DEP DPR	<i>Who is responsible for spaces not in city ownership?</i> Private owners through a good neighbor agreement and with technical assistance and urging of DPR and DEP. See rationale on Back of Map 16, page 39.
26	<i>Plant trees every 25' on every block (page: 47)</i>	DPR	The Parks Commissioner has proposed similar initiatives. See rational on back of Map 16, page 39.
27	<i>Plant shrubs and green foliage where possible, discourage truck traffic. (page: 47)</i>	DPR DOT	See 26
28	<i>Require permeable paving where feasible (page: 48)</i>	DCP	<i>Who is responsible?</i> This issue should be undertaken by DCP as part of amending the Zoning Resolution. It is common practice in many parts of the country.
29	<i>Provide incentives & technical assistance to promote greening of private property. (page: 48)</i>	DPR EDC	<i>Who is responsible?</i> DPR and EDC would be the agencies utilizing state and federal funds to assist property owners in the greening of their property. It could also be a part of a good neighbors agreement
30	<i>In accordance w Fair Share, permanently ban new or relocated solid waste facilities, including privately operated facilities. (page: 48)</i>		<i>Misconstrues Fair Share objectives.</i> At minimum, a Fair Share analysis shall be prepared before siting any facility. See Map 10 and the associated charts for existing concentration of environmentally sensitive sites demonstrating the concentration of these environmentally burdensome facilities in Community Board 1.
31	<i>Reduce permitted pollution levels; bring into conformance with national average & EPA standards within 15 years (page: 48)</i>	DEP EPA	See # 15.
32	<i>Seek alternatives to burning waste. (page: 48)</i>	DOS	
33	<i>Decontaminate Greenpoint Incinerator and reuse site for park & public events. (page: 48)</i>	DOS DPR	<i>Provide location.</i> See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations), page 39
34	<i>Build public marina etc at Whale Creek or other Newtown Creek site. (page: 48)</i>	DPR	<i>Who is responsible?</i> The Department of Parks and Recreation with a franchisee.
35	<i>Enforce air pollution controls bring into conformance with national average & EPA standards within 15 years. (page: 49)</i>	DEP	See # 15.
36	<i>Restrict any further expansion of Greenpoint WPCP. (page: 49)</i>	DEP	
37	<i>Design WPCP to reflect & enhance area architecture. (page: 49)</i>	DEP	
38	<i>Implement CSO abatement plan. (page: 49)</i>	DEP	
39	<i>Develop green buffer area between WPCP and residential area.(page: 49)</i>	DEP	
40	<i>Expand/upgrade sewer lines in Greenpoint. (page: 49)</i>	DEP	
	WATERFRONT ACCESS/OPEN SPACE		
41	<i>Undertake street scape capital improvements on <u>specified</u> public access routes. (page: 50)</i>	DOT	<i>Streets not specified.</i> Refer to Visual Corridors on page 51 and Greenways and Visual Corridors on Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations), page 39

#	Recommendation	Agency	Threshold Issues (Questions from DCP in <i>Italics</i>)
42	Remove illegal physical & visual barriers to waterfront. (page: 53)	DCP DOT	<i>Clarify; specify where?</i> At present the following streets are believed to be public streets and are closed off and in some cases illegally fenced. They are Noble, Kent and Oak Streets. In addition, Quay and Java Street may also be illegally closed, although they may have permits dating over 30 years allowing closure of these streets, this should be investigated and means sought to reacquire access.
43	Maintain specified visual corridors to waterfront. (page: 53) Develop WAP concurrently with the rezonings. (Pg:50)	DCP	
44	Create multi-use promenades from W 12 St up to and beyond Kosciusko Bridge. (page: 53)	DCP	
45	Create a promenade from GMDC to Pulaski Bridge as part of Manhattan Av reconstruction. (page: 53)	DOT	<i>Show on a map.</i> See map 16, page 39 and rationale on the back of Map 16. Also see definition of Promenade in Glossary. An examination of aerial photographs indicate the existence of a nearly continuous linear area, which can be developed at the time the bulkhead is repaired or rebuilt. The one interrupted area could easily be bridged over.
46	Refurbish Noble, Kent & India Street piers for public use. (page: 53)	EDC DCP	<i>Ownership of the piers?</i> These are privately owned however they can be made accessible to the public as part of a waterfront access plan and any contemplated development. Furthermore, because the properties are in disrepair, accessible and pose a hazard, the city can if it chooses use its police powers to acquire the properties in question under Local Law 45 (New York City Municipal Code) or its applicable equivalent. Also see Map 16 for rationale. These piers are the subject of public access re-use feasibility analysis being conducted by DEP as part of the Newtown Creek WPCP Amenities Program.
47	Evaluate effect of sewage discharge at India St. outfall and other outfalls. (page: 53)	DEP	
48	Reclaim shores of Newtown Creek for recreational use, e.g. kayaking and canoeing. (page: 53)	DPR	<i>Identify appropriate locations.</i> Various sites are appropriate, particularly at the ends of public streets. See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations) and Rationale on page 39
49	Study the feasibility of kayak and canoe routes to Roosevelt Island. (page: 53)	DPR	TEA-21 is considered a possible resource. Also, DPR has indicated a willingness to work with the Greenpoint 197-a Committee on this issue and it is part of the Newtown Creek WPCP amenities package.
50	Work with Greenpoint Lumberyard to transfer property for Greenpoint Park; relocate to mouth of Newtown Creek. (page: 53)	DPR NYS	See # 2. This would be at the initiative of a private developer. See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations and Rationale)
51	Improve Newtown Barge Terminal Playground. (page: 54)	DPR	<i>Identify problem and location?</i> Same as 50 above; part of the same development option.
52	Reuse sludge storage tank on Dupont St for recreation. (page: 54)	DEP	
53	Develop WNYC Transmitter site for passive recreation; study feasibility of ferry slip. (page: 54)	DPR DOT	<i>Locate on map.</i> See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations), page 39
54	Create USS Monitor Park and Marina at Bushwick Creek. (page: 54)	DPR	
55	Recreate Hawley's Carousel at sludge tank, Manhattan Av promenade or Monitor site. (page: 54)	DPR	<i>Who is responsible?</i> DPR working with private developers and the community.
56	Develop plan for redesign of McCarren Park and Pool. (page: 54)	DPR	<i>Identify problem.</i> Ongoing debate between DPR and the community about the inconclusive reconstruction of the Park.
57	Create a green way along N 14 St linking McCarren Park to Bushwick Creek. (page: 54)	DPR DOT	
58	Provide vest pocket parks along Newtown Creek up to & beyond Kosciusko Bridge where & when feasible. (page: 54)	DPR	
59	Survey area south of BQE for possible playground site. (page: 54)	DPR	<i>Justify need.</i> The area south of the BQE has a dearth of recreation space. See Map 18 (Parks with ¼ Mile Service Radii), page 51

#	Recommendation	Agency	Threshold Issues (Questions from DCP in <i>Italics</i>)
	HOUSING/HISTORIC PRESERVATION		
60	Expand housing supply with new market-rate and affordable units. (page: 55)	HPD DCP	
61	New building heights and architecture should be compatible with historic district.(page: 55)	DCP	Show historic district on map. See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations), page 39
62	Build senior citizen housing. (page: 55)	HUD HPD	Justify need. According to concerned citizens, local development corporations, and as stated in Community Board #1 Statement of Community Needs, building affordable senior housing is a “paramount concern”, since most of the publicly-owned sites have been redeveloped, and privately owned sites are difficult to acquire. Each of the existing Senior Citizen facilities has an extensive waiting list.
63	Require prior notification and consent of community and adjacent property owners for all demolitions. (page: 55)	DOB	Clarify problem. Community residents are concerned about the destruction of the existing urban fabric of the neighborhoods, and about the structural stability of their residences, most of which share common party walls.
64	Ensure that existing and prospective homeowners have access to low-interest loans. (page: 55)	HPD	
65	At Greenpoint Terminal Market site, retain and designate existing buildings worthy of landmark status and develop a mixed-use community. (page: 55)	LPC	Reflect revised recommendations for the site. See page 43 as amended and original covering letter dated January 24,1999.
	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
66	Consider a Special Retail Zone to encourage quality retail shops and discourage superstores. (page: 56)	DCP EDC	
67	Discourage shopping malls and superstores. (page: 56)	DCP	
68	Encourage seasonal craft fairs, workshops, farmer’s markets. (page: 56)	CB 1 BID	
69	Encourage non-polluting businesses by increasing performance standards, creating Clean Industries/Good Neighbor Agreements Program, & eco development programs. (page: 56)	DCP DEP EDC	What is “good neighbor “ program and who monitors it? See Keywords page 72. EDC should develop the guidelines for such agreements and work with community based organizations and business groups to negotiate and implement.
70	Provide job training, ESL classes, computer skills training for immigrants, youth. (page: 56)	DOE	
71	Work with youth organizations to create a computer skills training center. (Page 56)		
72	Create a clean industries / good neighbor agreements program. (Page: 56)		Overlaps # 69 See Keywords page 72
	COMMUNITY FACILITIES		
73	Develop community facilities to meet needs of community particularly daycare and youth centers. (Page: 57)		
74	Build a new library. (Page: 57)	BPL	Justify need and explain problem. Pg. 61 says “reconstruct” See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations and Rationale), page 39
75	Consider reusing closed Catholic school as new vision school and youth center. (Page: 57)	BOE	
76	Provide space on Manhattan Av for community meetings. (Page: 57)	PRIVATE	Who? Manhattan Avenue BID (in formation) or business associations
77	Create a multi-use entertainment complex (movie) on Manhattan Av. (Page: 57)	CB1 PRIVATE	
78	Create Farmers Mkt/Crafts Fair site in McCarren Park. (Page: 57)	DPR	
79	Hold a harvest festival every summer. (Page: 57)	CB 1 PRIVATE	See 76
	TRANSPORTATION/INFRASTRUCTURE		
80	Restrict/regulate truck traffic on residential streets. (page: 58)	DOT	

#	Recommendation	Agency	Threshold Issues (Questions from DCP in <i>Italics</i>)
81	<i>Restrict/regulate truck deliveries on Manhattan Av. (page: 58)</i>	DOT	
82	<i>Improve street scape on Manhattan Av (Commercial to Driggs), Franklin, West & all waterfront view corridors. (page: 58)</i>	DOT	
83	<i>Explore feasibility of light rail/trolley along Manhattan Av, linking to Red Hook & Queens. (page: 58)</i>	DOT MTA	<i>Clarify linkages, need?</i> Potential residential development along the East River would create the need for additional public transportation. A barrier free bus and or trolley linked to daily, weekly and monthly metro card usage could help to re-energize the northern end of Manhattan Avenue’s commercial strip and reinforce the remainder of the that vital commercial corridor. See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations and Rationale), page 39
84	<i>Restore Manhattan Av footbridge to Queens. (page: 58)</i>	DOT	
85	<i>Expand mass transit service; retain manned operation of G train; extend bus routes to Franklin & Kent. (page: 58)</i>	NYCTA	
86	<i>Establish ferry/water taxi service to the rest of New York waterfront. (page: 58)</i>	DOT PRIVATE	.
87	<i>Establish bike paths on all promenades & streets where feasible. (page: 58)</i>	DOT DPR	TEA-21 funds available for development would be utilize.
88	<i>Restore old trolley house at the end of Manhattan Av. (page: 58)</i> SUGGESTION WITHDRAWN	PRIVATE	<i>Who owns it? Where? For what use? Explain</i> This suggestion was made at the public meeting and could, if economically feasible, be one of the cultural landmarks along the proposed waterfront “promenade.” The site is immediately west of the Greenpoint Manufacturing and Design Center, Block 2472, Lot 400 See Keywords page 73.
89	<i>Construct new muni parking garage at Manhattan Av near GMDC.(page: 60)</i>	DOT EDC	<i>Justify need.</i> See Map 16 (Greenpoint Plan Recommendations) To accommodate the parking needs of the area The garage would also be linked to the barrier-free low floor bus and or trolley. In our agency meeting with the MTA they indicated that they will soon be testing two low floor hybrid buses in New York City of the type proposed. See page 60
90	<i>Work with Consolidated Freightways to relocate their parking. (page: 60)</i> 11 West Street, Block 2570, Lot 1	CB 1 EDC DPR	<i>Clarify problem.</i> If the area along the East River is to be developed for housing and parkland, the truck parking that now dominates this portion of the East River waterfront should be relocated in an appropriate industrial area.
	AGENCY SERVICE STATEMENTS (page 60)		See page 60
91	<i>Request annual Sec 2707 Agency Budget Statements to monitor implementation goals.</i>	CB 1	Agency Statements, the Mayor’s and Borough President’s Statement of Needs and documents and reports prepared by the City Planning Department have been scrutinized and reviewed and have continually informed the process. In addition members of the 197a committee have been part of the Newtown Creek WPCP advisory committee which has helped to inform the plan. Some agency meeting were held informally during the process and the committee has and will be continuing to meet in a formal way with all the relevant city agencies. In addition, the plan has also looked at and used State and Federal initiatives particularly concerning environmental issues and those have been considered in the preparation of this plan. See page 13